

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/761,600	01/20/2004	Yuan-Ting Chung	1211047	4270
7590 04/26/2006			EXAMINER	
Keith Kline			CHIN, GARY	
PRO-TECHTO	R INTERNATIONAL SI	ERVICES		
20775 Norada Court			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Saratoga, CA 95070-3018			3661	
			DATE MAILED: 04/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/761,600	CHUNG, YUAN-TING	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gary Chin	3661	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 January 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	. 4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		

Application/Control Number: 10/761,600 Page 2

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because there is no descriptive legend provided for each of 1. structural elements shown in figure 1 currently represented in a form of hollow rectangles. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: On line 10, the phrase "sensors detects a signal and transmits same to the controller" should be "sensors detect a signal and transmit said signal to the controller". Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/761,600 Page 3

Art Unit: 3661

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harter, Jr. et al (patent no. 6961006) in view of Wasilewski et al (patent no. 6744359).

As per claim 1, figure 2 of the Harter, Jr. et al reference clearly discloses the claimed collision prevention automatic warning system including a controller (item 20), at least one sensor (items 12 and 26), a light emitter (item 34) and a sound emitter (item 32). Further, the claimed electric battery power supply is inherent in the Harter, Jr. et al warning system. The difference between the claimed invention and that disclosed in Harter, Jr. et al is that the latter does not include a standby or backup battery power supply as claimed. However, such feature is well known in the art and clearly taught in figure 2c (see item 31) of the Wasilewski et al reference. A person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to incorporate such well known feature as taught in Wasilewski et al into the Harter, Jr. et al system to improve the reliability of the warning system in the event that the main battery power supply lost its efficacy.

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harter, Jr. et al and Wasilewski et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ewert (patent no. 5515026).

As per claim 2, the additionally claimed manual switch to enable the light emitter and sound emitter is old and well known and clearly taught in figure 5 (item 32) of the Ewert

Art Unit: 3661

reference. Hence, a person skilled in the art would have been motivated to incorporate such well known switch as taught in Ewert into the Harter, Jr. et al system so that an additional manual back up system can be provided to enable the light and sound emitters as required.

6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harter, Jr. et al and Wasilewski et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Lundsgaard et al (pub. no. 2005/0128062 A1).

As per claim 3, it is noted that the additionally claimed automatic reporting system has not been disclosed in the Harter, Jr. et al reference. However, such automatic reporting system to report the accident to the proper authorities such as policy is clearly taught in the abstract and sections 0030-0031 of the Lundsgaard et al reference. A person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to incorporate such well known feature as taught in Lundsgaard et al into the Harter, Jr. et al system so that the rescue operation can be facilitated.

- 7. The additional references are cited to show the related system(s). Applicant(s) should consider them carefully when responding to the current office action.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Chin whose telephone number is (571) 272-6959. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas G. Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/761,600 Page 5

Art Unit: 3661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GARY CHIN
PRIMARY EXAMINER